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Role of Decompressive Craniectomy in Acute Subdural Haematoma

I.D. Chaurasia¹, Jay Prakash Singour², Agam Sharma³, Mahim Kosariya⁴, Anil Kumar Gupta⁵

Abstract

Background: Our aim in the present study was to assess the value of Decompressive Craniectomy in acute SDH. We sought to assess whether the surgical procedure conferred any increase in short-term survival rates. We included all patients who underwent an operation in our unit for evacuation of an AcSDH sustained as a result of trauma. It is still not clear whether early decompression can improve the outcome in severe head injury (GCS 8 or <8).

Aim & Objectives: The study was conducted to assess the value of decompressive craniectomy in acute SDH and to evaluate the outcome / prognostic factors and complications of decompressive craniectomy.

Methods: From July 2016 to April 2018, 138 patients with Acute SDH due to trauma underwent for Decompressive Craniectomy. The clinical status (GCS), imaging CT/Scan/ MRI and outcome were analyzed at Neurosurgery unit of Surgery Dept. of Hamidia Hospital which is affiliated with Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal (India). Qui square independent test and Fishers test were used to evaluate the prognostic factor.

Result: The study group consisted of 138 male & female, with the mean age being 48.9 ± 29.4 years, ranging between 02 and 75 years. The mechanisms of injury were traffic accidents in 33 (35%); fall in 42 (45%) and blunt injury due to occupational accidents in 17 (20%) patients. Left hemispheric injury was found in 39 (42%); right hemispheric injury in 42 (45%); and bilateral hemispheric injury in 11 (13%) patients. Acute SDH was the only injury in 27 (30%) patients, while the remaining 65 (70%) had accompanying extracranial injuries.

Conclusion: Craniotomy can have favorable outcome in few cases of Acute SDH with unfavorable pre operative status, although craniectomy remain the standard surgical modality with pre operative poor clinical status. Early decompression in Acute SDH may be of particular benefit. The age of the patients, pupillary size and reaction at the time of admission was statistically significant predictor of outcome. Though, the indications of Decompressive Craniectomy versus Craniotomy remain a matter of debate; the Brain Trauma Foundation has identified the question of craniotomy versus decompressive craniectomy for primary evacuation of AcSDH as an vital area for further research.

Keywords: Traumatic Brain Injury; Decompressive Craniectomy; Acute subdural heamatoma; Outcome; Glasgow coma scale.

Introduction

Traumatic Acute Subdural Haematoma (SDH) continues to have high morbidity and mortality rates despite the advent of rapid transportation, computed tomography (CT) scanning, intracranial pressure monitoring and intensive care management [1,2]. Outcome for these patients may be influenced mainly by the underlying brain injury than the SDH itself [3], decompressive craniectomy was first described by Kocher [4] and Cushing [5].
The general surgical procedure for AcSDH is craniotomy with removal of hematoma and Decompressive Craniectomy (DC) if necessary. The decision of the surgical technique depends on individual surgeon’s experience in preoperative neurologic status, preoperative CT findings, and intraoperative findings. In some studies, more craniotomies than decompressive craniectomies were performed as a surgical treatment of choice for AcSDH. Even though decompressive craniectomy has its own complications and requires a subsequent cranioplasty, preemptive decompressive craniectomy can provide more effective control of intracranial pressure (ICP) and aggressive brain edema. Some patients who underwent craniotomy for removal of hematoma suffered refractory intracranial hypertension and brain edema, as a result required reoperation with decompressive craniectomy. The decompressive craniectomy showed better global outcome. The indication for decompressive craniectomy was based on clinical and radiological grounds rather than refractory intracranial pressure [5,6].

Surgical indications for AcSDH:
- Lesion causing raised ICP
- Midline shift < 75 mm
- Brain stem compression
- Thickness of AcSDH < 5 mm with midline shift.

Surgery not needed:
- Patients with good GCS with small AcSDH.
- Patients with absent brainstem reflexes after resuscitation.

To avoid reoperation, we investigated predictable values that could indicate decompressive craniectomy. As a surgical treatment of choice by comparing groups that did and did not require reoperation using decompressive craniectomy. After craniotomy in AcSDH patients.

Materials & Methods

In this observational study patients were analysed who had undergone decompressive craniectomy for acute SDH with 138 patients from July 2016 to April 2018 at the Neurosurgery unit of Surgery department of Hamidia Hospital & Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal. Following the establishment of airway patency and appropriate fluid resuscitation, patients having significant acute SDH in CT were immediately operated for decompression. Data about the demographical characteristics such as age and gender, the history and clinical findings such as mechanism of injury, the time duration from the traumatic event until surgical decompression, the hemispherical location of the haematoma, the presence of extradural injury, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, the pupillary reactivity and the signs of herniation (unilateral or bilateral pupil dilatation) were recorded. Laboratory data such as the presence of midline shift at the level of septum pellucidum in CT, complete blood count, full biochemistry and arterial blood gas measurements were also recorded at admission to the emergency department.

Inclusion Criteria:

1. Patients age included 02 to 75.
2. Arterial blood gas measurements included pH (normal value 7.35-7.45), partial arterial pressure of carbondioxide (PaCO₂) (normal value 35-45 mmHg), partial arterial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂) (normal value 80-100 mmHg), and arterial oxygen saturation (SO₂) (normal value >90%).

Complete blood count tests included haemoglobin (normal value 12-18 mg/dl), white blood cell count (normal value 4800-10800/mm³) and platelet (PLT) count (normal value 130,000-400,000/mm³). Full biochemistry included blood glucose level (GLU) (normal value 70-110 mg/dl), blood urea nitrogen (BUN) (normal value 10-50 mg/dl), creatinine (CRE) (normal value 0.5-1.2 mg/dl), sodium (Na) (normal value 135-157 mEq/Lt), potassium (K) (normal value 3.5-5.5 mEq/Lt), calcium (Ca) (normal value 8.4-10.2 mEq/Lt), chloride (Cl) (normal value 98-110 mEq/Lt), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (normal value <40 IU.L-1), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (normal value <40 IU.L-1), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) (normal value 40-129 IU.L-1), gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT) (normal value <73 IU.L-1 in males; <38 IU.L-1 in females), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) (normal value 120-146 U.L-1), creatine kinase (CK) (normal value 32-294 U.L-1 in males; 33-211 U.L-1 in females), creatine kinase-MB (CKMB) (normal value 0.6-6.3 ng/ml), total protein (Normal value 6.4-8.3 gr/dl), and albumin (normal value 3.2-4.8 gr/dl).

Statistical Analysis

Statistics calculated in this study, all results were calculated on SPSS 20.0 & MS Office Excel.
Results

Eighty two (82)% males were non-survivors where as 18% females with mean age of 48.9 in non-survivors (Table 1).

The study group consisted of 138 male & female, with the mean age being 48.9 ± 2.9 years, ranging between 02 and 75 years. The mechanisms of injury were traffic accidents in 33 (35%); fall in 42 (45%) and blunt injury due to occupational accidents in 17 (20%) patients. Left hemispheric injury was found in 39 (42%); right hemispheric injury in 42 (45%); and bilateral hemispheric injury in 11 (13%) patients. Acute SDH was the only injury in 27 (30%) patients, while the remaining 65 (70%) had accompanying extracranial injuries (Table 2).

Discussion

This study, analyzing outcomes following traumatic evacuation in a single neurosciences centre, shows that both CR and decompressive craniectomy are commonly used as the primary evacuation procedure. We calculated the CRASH-CT risks of mortality at 14 days and unfavourable outcome at six months and found that the population who underwent primary evacuation by decompressive craniectomy is more severely injured. However, the observed outcomes were not significantly different between the two groups.

Bone flap size is likely to play an important role in the effectiveness of decompressive craniectomy procedures. The EBIC survey investigators reported a wide variation in the dimensions of the craniectomies performed for intra-dural posttraumatic lesions. In the EBIC study, even though the mean size of decompressive craniectomy was 67 cm², 50% of the patients who underwent emergency decompressive craniectomy for an intradural lesion had a bone flap size less than 60 cm². In the present study, the average size of the bone flaps in the decompressive craniectomy. Group was 74.5 cm² and only 11% of the decompressive

Table 1: Demographical and clinical parameters between the survivors and the non-survivors at the 30th day of the operation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Survivors (n = 92)</th>
<th>Nonsurvivors (n = 46)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>29.6 ± 27.3</td>
<td>48.9 ± 29.4</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>67 (73%)</td>
<td>38 (82%)</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>25 (27%)</td>
<td>08 (18%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Clinical parameters between the survivors and the non-survivors at the 30th day of the operation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Survivors (n = 92) % (round figure)</th>
<th>Nonsurvivors (n = 46) % (round figure)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of injury</td>
<td>Fall 42 (45%)</td>
<td>17 (37%)</td>
<td>0.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TA 33 (35%)</td>
<td>23 (50%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occupational 17 (20%)</td>
<td>6 (13%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time duration until surgery (hours)</td>
<td>5.1 ± 2.4</td>
<td>5.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localisation of injury</td>
<td>Left hemispheric 39 (42%)</td>
<td>27 (58%)</td>
<td>0.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right hemispheric 42 (45%)</td>
<td>11 (24%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bilateral 11 (13%)</td>
<td>08 (18%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracranial injury</td>
<td>Present 27 (30%)</td>
<td>14 (31%)</td>
<td>0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absent 65 (70%)</td>
<td>32 (69%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP</td>
<td>111.2 ± 30.1</td>
<td>88.5 ± 54.7</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAP</td>
<td>67.8 ± 22.6</td>
<td>51.8 ± 36.4</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupillary reactivity</td>
<td>7 (3-13)</td>
<td>5 (3-12)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTS</td>
<td>8 (4-12)</td>
<td>7 (1-11)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCIS</td>
<td>3 (0-12)</td>
<td>5 (0-12)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLT (total/mm3 X103)</td>
<td>277.3 ± 97.5</td>
<td>197.5 ± 102.0</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLU (mg/dl-1)</td>
<td>145.2 ± 51.4</td>
<td>266.3 ± 106.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN</td>
<td>29.3 ± 9.7</td>
<td>37.8 ± 6.5</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂</td>
<td>159.7 ± 60.5</td>
<td>72.0 ± 16.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>95.9 ± 4.4</td>
<td>91.1 ± 4.5</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cranietomy bone flaps were below 60 cm² in size. In addition, the mean maximum diameter of the bone flaps in the decompressive cranietomy group was 11.6 cm [7].

However, the major issue is that there is currently only class III evidence with retrospective studies investigating the role of decompressive cranietomy.

Our study showed poorer outcome in decompressive cranietomy group compared with Craniotomy group (poor mRS 77%, 20 of 26 patients in decompressive cranietomy group vs. 40%, 8 of 20 patients in Craniotomy group; \( p=0.004 \)). This results are may be due to more patients with low GCS score (GCS<8), unresponsive pupil, and comorbid CT lesion in decompressive cranietomy group. Our results carry similar selection bias that neurosurgeons tend to perform decompressive cranietomy. When patient’s preoperative clinical status is poor. To clarify this point, we counted on number of unfavorable features for each patient that may influence on poor outcome. On average, decompressive cranietomy decompressive cranietomy group had more adverse features than Craniotomy group, and thus poor outcome for decompressive cranietomy group can be explained [8,9].

Furthermore, various possible complications of decompressive cranietomy. need awareness of neurosurgeons. Subgaleal hemorrhage, herniation through the cranial defect, subdural effusion, syndrome of the trephined (sinking skin flap syndrome), and hydrocephalus were reported complications of decompressive cranietomy [10]. In our series, 1 patient underwent reoperation due to subgaleal hematoma and 2 patients had severe sinking of skin flap where difficulty was in cranioplasty resulted in complication. decompressive cranietomy. decompressive cranietomy also have disadvantage of requiring subsequent cranioplasty which harbor additional risk of complication. Gooch et al. [11] reported that immediate post-operative complication rate of cranioplasty after decompressive cranietomy was as high as 34% which were infection, wound breakdown, intracranial hemorrhage, and bone resorption. We also experienced complications of cranioplasty in our patients (4 of 12; epidural hematoma 2, infection 1, cerebrospinal fluid leakage 1) which interrupted patient’s recovery. In this context, there may be some advantage of Craniotomy in evacuation of AcSDH.

However, this study is a retrospective single center study with small patient population. Limitations of selection bias hinder any conclusion on role of Craniotomy or decompressive cranietomy for AcSDH. We think further investigation with larger patient population and carefully selected criteria is needed to clarify the optimal surgical modality for patient with AcSDH.

Conclusion

Craniotomy can have favorable outcome in few cases of Acute SDH with unfavorable pre operative status, although cranietomy remain the standard surgical modality with pre operative poor clinical status. Early decompression in Acute SDH may be of particular benefit. The age of the patients, pupillary size and reaction at the time of admission was statistically significant predictor of outcome. Though, the indications of Decompressive Cranietomy versus Craniotomy remain a matter of debate; the Brain Trauma Foundation has identified the question of craniotomy versus decompressive cranietomy for primary evacuation of AcSDH as an vital area for further research. The concept of performing Decompressive Cranietomy in Traumatic Acute Subdural Haematoma patients seems to be attractive.
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HBOT in Traumatic Brain Injury Patients:
Prospective Randomized Clinical Trial

Manoj Gupta

Abstract

Background: The use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in cases with traumatic brain injury is based on the hypothesis that injured or inactive neurons would greatly benefit from increased blood flow and oxygen delivery, which later could act to metabolically or electrically may reactivate the cells.

Objective: To evaluate the optimal number of hyperbaric oxygen therapy sessions required in patients with head injury and to compare the neurological effects of 10, 20 and 30 sessions of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in patients with traumatic brain injury.

Study Design: Prospective randomized clinical trial.

Place of Study: The study was carried out at the Prana HBO Centre, which is owned by the Investigator and located in the Northern parts of Mumbai, in India.

Methods: Study was conducted over a period of 3 years and patient with Head injury referred to the Hyperbaric Unit at Prana HBO center after the initial evaluation and surgical procedure were included. Patients were randomly assigned to any of the three groups and allotted numbers were concealed to receive HBO therapy. HBO therapy was given with compressed with air at a pressure of 1.8 atmosphere absolute (ata). At this pressure the patient breathed 100% oxygen via facial mask. The HBO therapy protocol included 90 minutes oxygen breathing at 1.8 ata, 6 days a week.

Results and Discussion: A significant improvement in GCS scores in group H10 between the end of 10 HBOT sittings and at the end of 30th day. As well significant improvement in scores of group H20 between the end of 20 sittings and at 30th day was being observed. GOS was seen better after 20 and 30 sessions of hyperbaric oxygen therapy as compared to group I of HBO group III showed maximum improvement in spasticity in comparisons to group I and II, however there was good improvement in spasticity all the three group. Mood swings was less in group III with only 12% patients showing mood swings, whereas in group II and group I it was around 59% and 93% respectively. Fasano carried out first clinical observation and presented a therapeutic effect of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in traumatic brain injury patients and concluded that the HBO improved the outcome following brain trauma.

Conclusion: Our findings shows the beneficial effects of HBO therapy in traumatic brain injury patients on GCS score, GOS, spasticity and mood swings with increase in number of multiple sessions. Despite these encouraging results further research is needed to more clearly define the mechanism and potential role of HBOT following traumatic brain injury.

Keywords: HBOT; Traumatic brain injury; Clinical Trial.
removal in traumatic brain injuries but it is observed that the prognosis is hardly being improved [1,2]. To be efficient and focused the general consensus is that the focus should be on secondary brain injury; as well major concern should be on stabilization of blood and intracranial pressure in traumatic brain injury cases. It mostly involves prompt administration of neuro-protective drugs, rehabilitative training even though the neglect of hypoxic state of brain tissue is commonly observed after traumatic brain injury.

Many researches had pointed out that secondary ischemic injury exists in brain tissue seen in very early stages of traumatic brain injury and that is being considered as important contributor to morbidity and mortality. Primary aim in general management of traumatic brain injury as such is maintenance of oxygenation and perfusion [1].

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy can be defined as breathing of hundred percent oxygen at a pressure which is higher that atmospheric pressure. In the beginning the hyperbaric oxygen therapy was being used for treatment of decompression sickness seen in divers, however over a period of time its major potential of therapy had been clearly recognized and soon got approval for majority of purposes which include in repair of wounds, anemia, thermal burns cases, delayed radiational injuries, carbon monoxide poisoning, osteomyelitis and actinomycosis etc. [3].

As apart from these general conditions, great deal of interest with hyperbaric oxygen therapy had been shown in cases with traumatic brain injury, stroke, cerebral palsy etc. The use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in cases with traumatic brain injury is based on the hypothesis that injured or inactive neurons would greatly benefited from increased blood flow and oxygen delivery, which later could act to metabolically or electrically may reactivate the cells [4,5,6,7]. However on the contrary hyperbaric oxygen therapy has been clearly approved for the above enlisted clinical conditions and indications [8] the effects of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in relation with traumatic brain injury is yet not been clearly defined.

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy is a mode of treatment where the patient is entirely enclosed in a pressure chamber and he breathes hundred percent oxygen at a pressure which is greater than one atmosphere absolute (ATA). Hyperbaric oxygen is presently being used in an attempt to improve functional outcome following a multitude of brain injuries such as stroke, anoxic brain injury, and traumatic brain injury [9]. Number of other authors had used various numbers of sessions of hyperbaric oxygen therapy and had shown variable results; however the frequency of hyperbaric oxygen therapy sessions in patients with head injury had not been standardized [10,11]. Grossly it appears that the clinical outcome and benefit is dependent upon the dose of hyperbaric oxygen sessions [12].

Hence the in our study the aim was to evaluate the optimal number of hyperbaric oxygen therapy sessions required in patients with head injury. To accomplish the aim we laid down a prospective randomized control clinical trial with the intention to compare the neurological effects of 10, 20 and 30 sessions of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in patients with head injury.

Patients and Methods

Study setting

The study was carried out at the Prana HBO Centre, which is owned by the Investigator and located in the Northern parts of Mumbai, in India. The center has one Sechrist Monoplace hyperbaric chamber and a TCOM machine with 3 electrodes. The oxygen gas supply is from oxygen cylinders of 7000 liters’ capacity each. The center has all the requisite certifications and registrations as required by the local authority in Mumbai. Study was conducted over a period of 3 years and patient with Head injury referred to the Hyperbaric Unit at Prana HBO center after the initial evaluation and surgical procedure were included. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient and patient’s relative.

96 patients of age ranging from 4 years to 78 years with head injury were included in study. Patients with the history of head injury and Glasgow coma scale Score < 9 were included in the study. All patients were resuscitated and stabilized and they received neurological care according to the hospital protocol.

On receiving the patient to the HBO unit at Prana, patients were randomly assigned to any of the three groups and allotted numbers were concealed to receive HBO therapy.

Group HBOT10 (n 32): received 10 sittings of HBOT

Group HBOT20 (n 32): received 20 sittings of HBOT

Group HBOT30 (n 32) received 30 sittings of HBOT.
HBO therapy was given with compressed with air at a pressure of 1.8 atmosphere absolute (ata). At this pressure the patient breathed 100% oxygen via facial mask. The HBO therapy protocol included 90 minutes oxygen breathing at 1.8 ata, 6 days a week. ECG, non invasive automated blood pressure, respiratory rate and pulse oximeter monitoring was done during the management and therapy. Glasgow coma scale score [13] a primary outcome variable and recorded by the principal investigator after every 10 sittings and at 30 days from beginning of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in all three groups. Readings were recorded on a scale of 0 to 100 at 30 days in all three groups [14,15]. Glasgow outcome scale (GOS) in all three groups were recorded after 30 days. Modified Ashworth Scale was used to measure and grade the muscle spasticity [16].

Improvement in muscle spasticity ranging from grade 1 or more was considered to be improvement and was recorded. Tracheostomy and its removal requirement were also noted; as well the removal of Ryle’s tube was also recorded. During the period of three years of trial all the patients in three groups received intensive standard of care required in traumatic brain injury and was consistent with the protocol. Whenever required surgical interventions were made.

**Exclusion criterion**

Patients were excluded from the trial if the patient was enrolled in another trail, pregnant, Upper respiratory tract infection, neurologic or pulmonary or otorhinolaryngologic diseases contraindicating HBO therapy.

Baseline computerized tomography (CT) scan was recorded in each case and was categorized in Cat I to Cat IV.

**Ethics review**

This study was performed within the scope of international ethical guidelines and legislation. Ethics review and approval was provided by Stellenbosch University (number: U16/06/015) and the ethics committee of the Hyperbaric Society in India.

**Statistical Analysis**

The improvement in patients by GCS score, between all the groups is clinically significant on the basis of this assumption we set a 95% power and taking α = 5% (Level of Significance). In this study we examine total 96 patients which we divide equally in three groups randomly. We use MS Excel to analyses the statistical data, analysis data is represented by graphically and descriptive statistics calculated for different variables. Test of Significance is done by Kruskal-Wallis test & for the parametric test done through one way ANOVA. Decision of test of significance is based on p-value & it is statistically significant if p-value is less than 5% (p-value < 0.05).

**Results**

In the study total 96 cases were recruited, patient who fulfilled all the inclusion criteria for the study. Total 96 patients completed the study period and no patient was excluded during the study analysis 32 patients in each groups were randomized. During the period of study neither of the group patient had any episodes of cerebral oxygen toxicity nor there any adverse effects of pressurization observed. As per Table 2 all three groups were comparable with respect to demography, mode of injury and various baseline parameters. As per Table 4 neuro surgical interventions were comparable in three groups along with baseline median GCS scores. On observation the significant findings was that GCS score improved along with initiation of hyperbaric oxygen therapy. A significant improvement in GCS scores in group H10 between the end of 10 HBOT sittings and at the end of 30th day. As well significant improvement in scores of group H20 between the end of 20 sittings and at 30th day was being observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No</th>
<th>Baseline Computerized Tomography categorization</th>
<th>CT Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Cat I</td>
<td>No visible pathology seen on CT scan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Cat II</td>
<td>Cisterns are present with shift 05 mm, no high or mixed density lesion &gt;25 mL, may include bone fragments and foreign bodies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Cat III</td>
<td>cisterns compressed or absent, shift of 05 mm, no high or mixed density lesion &gt;25 mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Cat IV</td>
<td>shift &gt;5 mm, high or mixed density lesion &gt;25 mL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As per Table 4 difference in the average improvement in global rating scale between group I and group II and between groups I and group III was significant, but it was comparable more significantly between group II and Group III. The GOS was seen better after 20 and 30 sessions of hyperbaric oxygen therapy as compared to group I of HBOT group III showed maximum improvement in spasticity in comparisons to group I and II, however there was good improvement in spasticity all the three group. As per Table 4 Mood swings was less in group III with only 12% patients showing mood swings, whereas in group II patients were tracheostomized respectively. At the end of 30 days of hyperbaric oxygen therapy 29% patients were decannulated in Group I as compared to 60% in group II and 50% in group III. 71% of patients Ryle’s tube was removed in group I, 80% in group II and 87.5% in group III at the end of 30 days of starting of therapy.

### Table 2: Demographic analysis of baseline parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group - I H10 (n = 32)</th>
<th>Group - II H20 (n = 32)</th>
<th>Group - III H30 (n = 32)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age Min. Age</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Age</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex Ratio</td>
<td>14:18</td>
<td>20:12</td>
<td>17:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay in HBOT from day of Injury (Mean)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients on anticonvulsants (n)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Patients distribution of preoperative CT category by group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Pre Operative CT Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group - I</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group - II</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group - III</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: GCS (E, V, M) Scores of various stages of HBOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 10 days/sittings</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 20 days/sittings</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 30 days/sittings</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5: Improvement in patients & different parameters after 30 day of starting HBOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group - I</th>
<th>Group - II</th>
<th>Group - III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients Improvement</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>65% (0.0001)</td>
<td>78% (0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>73% (0.0001)</td>
<td>85% (0.0002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOS I</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOS II</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOS III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOS IV</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOS V</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with mood swings</td>
<td>93% (0.001)</td>
<td>59% (0.001)</td>
<td>12% (0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Various researchers on hyperbaric oxygen therapy in experimental traumatic brain injury have prominently clarified diverse mechanism which leads to neuro-protection in brain; mechanism includes increasing tissue oxygenation, reducing inflammation, decreasing apoptosis, reducing intra cranial pressure and promoting neurogenesis and angiogenesis. Gradually intense clinical research and trial were conducted with the aim of evaluating the efficacy and safety of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in relation with traumatic brain injuries and other neurological disorders. In clinical settings, hyperbaric oxygen therapy is usually implemented in form of repetitive sessions over extensive time periods with the aim of improving neurological outcomes after traumatic brain injury.

Usually treatment involved pressurization in a range of 1.5 to 3.0 ATA for period in between 60 to 120 minutes once or more daily with a range of less than one week to several months duration, however average being two to four weeks, mostly dependent upon the response of the patient and severity of the existing problems.

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy is an adjunctive therapy, proposed to improve an outcome in traumatic brain injury patients [17]. The mechanism by which hyperbaric oxygen therapy improves the sequel following traumatic brain injury is just a speculative. The theory is based on that damaged cells are Idling neurons in the ischemic penumbra which have the very potential to recover [17]. However the clinical efficiency of Hyperbaric oxygen Therapy in Traumatic brain injury remains controversial. Since the 1960s, many reports have...
demonstrated an HBOT-associated reduction in mortalities and/or improvement of neurological functions after TBI [18]. Most are based on case studies or retrospective analyses. Standardized clinical studies reporting HBOT-associated protective effects on TBI mediated brain damage are scarce, and an explicit benefit of HBOT for TBI patients has yet to be established.

In our study we observed that the administration of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in patients with traumatic brain injury had improved the GCS score along with improvement in global rating, GOS and spasticity. This positive findings improvement gradually increased with the number of increased sittings. Mood swings were also improved with increased sessions as well de-cannulated and ryle’s tube removal occurred early with increasing oxygen therapy sittings in patients with traumatic brain injury.

In 1964 Fasano et al. carried out first clinical observation and presented a therapeutic effect of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in traumatic brain injury patients and concluded that the HBO improved the outcome following brain trauma [19]. Hayakawa et al. showed that HBOT had effect in traumatic brain injury patients with change in intra cranial pressure as well reduction in CSF pressure in patients with acute cerebral damage, improved grey matter metabolic activity on single photon emission computerized tomography scan in closed head injury [18].

According to Golden et al. 2002, in chronic brain injury, ameliorated the neuropsychological disorders and enhanced neuropsychological and electrophysiological improvements [20]. Harch et al. 2009 reported to show that positive effects by improving the quality of life in patients with post concussion syndrome or mild traumatic brain injury at late chronic stage. All these studies highlighted the successful use of intensive hyperbaric oxygen therapy as therapeutic modality in various traumatic brain injury patients [21].

Apart from the positive effects of hyperbaric oxygen therapy there were concern for potential adverse effects which includes damage to ears, sinuses and lungs which are the result of pressure, temporary worsening of short-sightedness, claustrophobia and oxygen poisoning [22]. In our study prior we had an exclusion criterion; hence none of our patients had shown such complications during the course of the study. No neurological complications like seizures even though our few patients were on anticonvulsants therapy; still neurological complications were observed in few of our patients.

It is very clear from our study that the study itself had certain limitations by the fact that long term outcome of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in traumatic brain injury patients were not being evaluated. Regarding followed up regarding neuropsychiatric complications were not made. Broadly we had included all most all types of trauma to head rather than a specific group of head injury to study the multiple and increased sessions of hyperbaric oxygen therapy. There is a strong need to further more meticulous evaluation on increased multiple sessions of hyperbaric oxygen therapy and concluding on ceiling effect of the multiple sessions needs to be further evaluated.

**Conclusion**

In this study to conclude the impact of multiple increased session of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in traumatic brain injury patients from range of 10 to 20 sessions, outcome is favorable to traumatic brain injury patients. Our findings shows the beneficial effects of HBO therapy in traumatic brain injury patients on GCS score, GOS, spasticity and mood swings with increase in number of multiple sessions. Despite these encouraging results further research is needed to more clearly define the mechanism and potential role of HBOT following traumatic brain injury.
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Awake Craniotomy: An Institutional Experience

Saranyan R.¹, Raghavendran R.²

Abstract

Awake Craniotomy is a Neurosurgical procedure that allows a surgeon to operate brain tumour while the patient is awake inorder to preserve eloquent cerebral cortex. Surgery is done in the same way as the conventional craniotomy. This procedure is done with the aid of intra operative monitoring.

This procedure is done for the patients who have space occupying lesions in the eloquent areas, mostly commonly speech and motor area.

This study was done at The Institute of Neurosurgery, Rajiv Gandhi Government General Hospital and Madras Medical College, Chennai. For a group of patients who had tumours in the temporal and frontal lobes. All these patients were intra-operatively monitored during the procedure. The outcome of these patients re-emphasised the efficacy of this procedure in preventing significant morbidity due to the involvement of the eloquent cortex.

This study helps us to prove that, awake craniotomy with intra operative monitoring is helpful in patients with tumour in eloquent areas. It reduces post-operative morbidity in patients.

Keywords: Awake; Craniotomy; Eloquent; Frontal lobe; Temporal lobe.

Introduction

Awake craniotomy is a novel procedure that has reduced the morbidity of the patients. The space occupying lesions present in the Frontal and Temporal lobes cause motor inactivity and speech disturbance and this leads to significant disability. This study was done to assess the reduction in disability for the patients treated with awake craniotomy over conventional procedures. The patients from second and fifth decade were taken and the patients who were able to communicate were included in our study [1]. The patients with altered sensorium were not included in our study.

Before proceeding with awake craniotomy, anxiolytic medications were given to the patients preoperatively [2,3]. The anaesthesiologist then proceeded to administer a Scalp block. A craniotomy over the tumour region was done after fixing the patients head with a May field three pin clamp. Saline and lignocaine soaked cotton pledgets were placed over the durotomy surface to ensure dural anaesthesia and then durotomy was performed [11].

Surgery was then performed by the principle of maximal safe resection with constant clinical monitoring, when the patient starts to show signs of transient deficits in speech or motor function the resection was stopped and switched over to
another area that was deemed non eloquent/ non-functional [5].

**Materials and Method**

The study was conducted at the Institute of Neurosurgery, Rajiv Gandhi Government General Hospital and Madras Medical College, Chennai-3.

### Inclusion Criteria

1. Age 20 to 50 yrs
2. Patients with space occupying lesion in Frontal and Temporal regions
3. Patients who are able to communicate

### Exclusion Criteria

1. Age >50 years
2. Multiple lesions
3. GCS <12
4. Patients who were not operated earlier
5. Hemodynamic instability
6. Patients with anxiety disorders, schizophrenia, claustrophobia
7. Patients with low pain tolerance
8. Patient who developed seizure during the procedure excluded from the study

### Procedure

This is a prospective descriptive study in which 20 consecutive patients underwent awake craniotomy for lesions within the eloquent cortex, who did not have a diminishment of consciousness at presentation and tolerated the procedure (physically and psychologically). The decision regarding awake craniotomy was made by both the anaesthetist and surgeon [1-10]. Patient planned for awake craniotomy underwent thorough pre-operative clinical assessment and risk evaluation of surgical intervention under awake anaesthesia [15,53]. The details of the surgery and theatre environment including instruments and staff were shown and explained to the patients [12-17]. As soon as the patient arrived in the operating theatre, two wide bore intravenous canulae were inserted for fluid and medications and standard monitoring was applied [21].

Sedation was started using Dexmedetomidine [33-38] and head was stabilised in proper position with Mayfield. Skin incision was made accordingly [18,51]. Oxygen was supplemented through a nasal cannula and a urinary catheter was inserted.

Patients were then placed supine and the head of the patient was fixed with Mayfield frame position and turned to one side with a sand bag under the shoulder [52]. The patient was supported with soft pillows to provide maximal intra-operative comfort. Draping was done in such a way that eye contact could be maintained with the patient for assessment and for the airway if emergency airway management was needed [22-28]. A set of laryngeal mask airway was prepared to be used if airway obstruction developed intra-operatively [40,41,42]. Skin and bone flap were made following scalp block by blocking supraorbital, supratrochlear, auriculotemporal, zygomaticotemporal, posterior auricular branches of greater auricular nerve, greater, lesser and third occipital nerves [48,49]. Bupivacaine without adrenaline was selected as most of the selected candidates were elderly and with cardiovascular diseases. Following elevation of bone flap, the Dura was installed with 5 ml of 2% lignocaine before incision [46,47] and the intravenous sedation was then tailored down to facilitate functional assessment [18,19,23-25,50]. The tumour was resected slowly, during the resection the patient was encouraged to continue speaking as well as to move his/her limbs and this clinical status of the patient was constantly monitored [43,44,45]. A sluggish response or an alteration of response was judged to be due to the presence of eloquent cortex and the resection was stopped. In this way most of the tumour is resected and the tissue was sent for histo-pathological examination to check the grade of the tumour. Sedation was restarted upon closure of dura and was terminated at the end of skin closure. Verbal communication was continuously maintained with the patient to assess the speech while hand and foot movements were also monitored [16]. After checking on the grade of the tumour the patient was subjected to radiotherapy for completion of the treatment [18-21].

### Results

**Patient demographics**

Patient demographics are as those depicted in Table 1, there was no predilection for age or sex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Patient demographics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Post-operative deficit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. of patients with Hemiparesis (% of total)</th>
<th>No. of patients with Aphasia (% of total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POD 1</td>
<td>8 (40%)</td>
<td>9 (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD 3</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD 5</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD 7</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The patients who underwent craniotomy for eloquent cortex lesions by this method had a favourable post-operative morbidity profile in terms of fixed neurological deficit (motor and language) compared to conventional case series of patients undergoing craniotomy for the same. As described in table 2, the number of patients with hemiparesis was 8 (around 40% of patients) however by POD 7 only 2 patients had hemiparesis, whereas around 9 patients (45%) had post-operative aphasia, this aphasia subsequently improved in 8 patients and by POD 7 only 1 patient had documented aphasia.

The general complications for craniotomy were comparable to those patients undergoing conventional craniotomy.

Statistical Analysis

Age * Gender Cross tabulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 - 30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 - 40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-Square Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Chi-Square</td>
<td>.144a</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood Ratio</td>
<td>.144</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Valid Cases</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 6 cells (100.0%) have expected count less than 5.
The minimum expected count is 2.25.

POD * Outcome Cross tabulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POD</th>
<th>Aphasia</th>
<th>Hemi paresis</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POD 1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD 5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POD 7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The collected data were analysed with IBM SPSS statistics software 23.0 Version. To describe about the data descriptive statistics frequency analysis, percentage analysis were used. To find the significance in categorical data Chi-Square test was used. In the above statistical tool the probability value .05 is considered as significant level.

p -Value # No Significant at p >.050

Fig. 1:
Discussion

Frontal Lobe

It is situated in the anterior and centre part of the brain and present in both the hemispheres. It controls the motor and sensory activities of the body. They represent the motor and sensory homunculus of the body. Any space occupying lesion in that area causes compression of the motor cortex or the sensory cortex and produces motor paresis or sensory loss.

Temporal Lobe

It is situated on both sides of the brain, just above the ear. The main functions of the temporal lobe are speech, comprehension, memory and emotional responses. Any type of injury or compression by the space occupying lesions over the temporal lobe causes difficulty in speaking or comprehension.

Glioma

It usually arises from ependymal cells, astrocytes and oligodendrocytes. They are of two types Low grade and High grade Glioma. The Low grade is well differentiated and carry good prognosis for the patient and the recurrences rate is less. The High grade is poorly differentiated and carry poor prognosis. The recurrence rate is high. The patient might need radiotherapy.

Awake craniotomy requires an adequate level of sedation during the opening and closure of bone flap without producing respiratory depression, full consciousness during the stage of removal of tumour maximum comfort of patient throughout the procedure. The common target for all techniques is to facilitate maximum possible tumour resection while sparing normal brain functions. Specific data analysis of patients revealed a significantly better neurological outcome and quality of resection in the awake craniotomy group than the group of general anaesthesia with conventional patients with lesions in eloquent areas. Craniotomy and resection of space occupying lesion usually takes more than 5 hours. Such lengthy operation time mandates a degree of sedation that should be titrated in such a way that the patient remains comfortable, motionless, alert and cooperative during resection of space occupying lesion and neurological assessment.

Although the operation time was not in favour of an awake patient to stay motionless and comfortable throughout the procedure, adherence to details of the ideal awake craniotomy technique helped to prevent these events. Skin incision and craniotomy are the most painful phases of this operation. A rapid control and modulation of sedation and analgesia is absolutely mandatory to manage painful surgical stimuli. The success of surgery largely depends on adequate scalp block; otherwise patients may become restless and uncooperative, requiring higher doses of analgesics or sedatives which can interfere with functional assessment and airway patency. Bupivacaine 0.5% combined with adrenaline 1:200,000 may be used to carry out the block.

After the tumour has been removed, all bleeding is stopped and the dura (thick membrane surrounding the brain) is closed with sutures. The bone flap is replaced with three titanium, mini-plates and screws. Then scalp is closed. The skin is then closed with staples and the wound is dressed and a head bandage is applied.

Post-operative recovery is usually much quicker than with a conventional craniotomy. Patient advised to take oral diet and mobilised as soon as possible and also able to be discharge the patient as soon as possible than compared to conventional craniotomy.

Risk of awake craniotomy for a brain tumour is the same as those for conventional craniotomy. A small risk of seizures during surgery in such cases it is required to convert into general anaesthetic. But overall complications following awake craniotomy are uncommon and the degree of risk depends on a number of factors such as the age, co-morbidities, size, location and type of the tumour.

Following are the some of the expected complications of awake craniotomy but not exclusive in all patients.

- Neurological deficit such paralysis of limbs or aphasia
- Haematoma in the tumour bed
- Brain oedema
- Brain abscess and wound infection
- Development of seizures
- CSF leak from the wound site.

Conclusion

Though 8 patients had transient motor weakness and 9 patients had transient speech disturbances, there was significant improvement in the post-operative period. Only 2 patients had persistent motor weakness and one had aphasia on 7th postoperative POD. Hence we conclude Awake Craniotomy is a safe procedure in patients with tumors involving eloquent areas of the brain.
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Evolution of Post Traumatic Acute Thin SDH into Sub acute or Chronic SDH: Analysis of Symptomatology, Risk Factor and Outcomes

R. Selvaraj¹, A. Agnetia Vinoth²

Abstract

Introduction: The natural course of post traumatic acute thin SDH is different among various patients. Most thin SDH resolve spontaneously, a few progress into chronic subdural hematomas requiring surgical treatment. The causative factors for progression of ASDH to CSDH in not fully studied.

Objectives: The objectives of this study were to analyse the occurrence of subacute or chronic SDH preceded by the traumatic acute thin SDH and their various presenting symptoms, risk factors, time of presentation, surgical interventions and outcomes.

Material and methods: This was a retrospective study conducted at the Institute of Neurosurgery, Madras Medical College. Patients with symptomatic sub acute or chronic SDH following acute post traumatic thin SDH, who were managed conservatively, in the past were included and analysed by database.

Results: Totally 20 patients were analysed. All patients underwent burrhole evacuation. Mean age was 47. 75% were alcohol consumers. 10% of patients were on anticoagulants. Presenting symptoms before surgery were headache (70%), dysarthria (20%), hemiparesis (20%), seizure (25%), and drop in GCS (15%). Mean duration between injury and onset of new signs and symptoms was 14 days. Mortality was 10%.

Conclusion: Acute thin SDH without surgical treatment liquefies and may later progressively enlarge, so that new symptoms develop in the subacute stage. Furthermore, this pathological process may contribute to the subsequent development of chronic SDH. Mean onset of symptoms was 14 days from our study. Hence, follow up imaging at end of 2nd week is advisable to identify the enlarging SDH which leads to help in early surgical intervention and prevent irreversible brain damage.

Keywords: Acute Subdural hematoma; Chronic subdural hematoma.
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Introduction

Post-traumatic subdural fluid collections have been known to contribute to the development of chronic SDH [1]. Although there have been a few reports documenting the occurrence of chronic SDH originating from acute SDH, the relationship between acute SDH and chronic SDH is not fully studied [1]. The natural course of post traumatic acute thin SDH is different among patients. Although most resolve spontaneously, few do not disappear and instead progress to chronic subdural hematoma (CSDH) requiring surgical treatment. Progression of ASDH to CSDH is a common cause of clinical deterioration in patients with initially non-operated ASDH. To understand this relationship, we analysed adult patients with symptomatic Sub acute or chronic SDH who initially had an acute thin SDH that was managed conservatively in the past.
Aims

To study the occurrence of subacute and chronic SDH following a traumatic acute thin SDH. Additionally the various presenting symptoms, risk factors, time of presentation, surgical interventions performed and their outcomes were analysed.

Material and methods

This study was a retrospective observational study conducted at the Institute of Neurosurgery, Madras Medical College. 20 consecutive patients with symptomatic sub-acute or chronic SDH following an acute post traumatic thin SDH who were managed conservatively in the past were included. Patient's details and imaging were collected from medical records between January 2018 to June 2018.

Clinical data

Retrospectively various symptoms such as headache, seizure, limb weakness, altered sensorium and various risk factors such as age, alcoholism, anticoagulant utilisation etc were analysed. The time of presentation surgical management and outcome were also analysed. Patients with associated contusions and haemorrhage causing mass effect were excluded and subdural hygroma patients were also excluded.

Statistical analysis

The data was entered as spread sheets and analysed using Microsoft Excel 2010. Descriptive statistics were calculated as frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation. The data were represented as various tables and also in the form of graphs such as bar charts and pie diagrams.

Results

20 patients were analysed of which 16 patients were males and 4 females. The hematoma was predominantly in the front-parietal region and predominantly in the right side and all patients underwent frontal and parietal burrholes and evacuation of the SDH. The mean age was 47. 75% of the patients were alcohol consumer. 10% of the patients were on anticoagulants. All patients had mild head injuries at the time of admission (GCS> 12). Presenting symptoms before surgery were headache (70%), dysarthria (20%), hemiparesis (20%), seizure (25%), and drop in GCS (15%). On analysis of Pre-op GCS, 80% of patients presented between 13-15, 10% patients between 9-12 and 10% were between 3-8. Mean duration between injury and onset of new signs and symptoms was 14 days. Mortality was 10%.

Table 1: Age distribution of the study subjects (n=20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 to 40 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 to 50 years</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 to 60 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 to 70 years</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 to 80 years</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean (± S.D) = 49.3 (± 11.51) years
Minimum Age: 30 years Maximum Age: 72 years

Table 2: Gender distribution of the study subjects (n=20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Mode of Injury of the study subjects (n=20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of Injury</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road traffic accident</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall from Height</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Distribution of Risk factors in the study subjects (n=20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholism</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulant medication</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: Pie chart showing Gender distribution of the study subjects (n=20)
Evolution of Post Traumatic Acute Thin SDH into Sub acute or Chronic
SDH: Analysis of Symptomatology, Risk Factor and Outcomes

Fig. 2: Distribution of Risk factors in the study subjects (n=20)

Table 5: Distribution of presenting symptoms (n=20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms*</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiparesis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysarthria</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drop in GCS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not mutually exclusive

Fig. 3: Bar chart showing presenting symptoms

Table 6: Distribution according to diagnosis (n=20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fronto-parietal chronic SDH</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fronto-tempero-parietal chronic SDH</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side of chronic SDH</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left side</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right side</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Distribution of GCS at injury (n=20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: Distribution of pre-operative GCS (n=20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13 to 15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 to 12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 to 8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: Distribution of time between injury and onset of symptoms (n=20)

Mean (± S.D) = 14.9 (± 4.49) days
Minimum: 10 days Maximum: 28 days

Fig. 4: Case 1. CT scans of 45 year old male with history of RTA. A: on admission. B: on day 14. C: post burrhole evacuation.
Discussion

Generally, hematoma with a thickness greater than 10 mm, or a midline shift greater than 5 mm are suggested as critical indicators for surgical removal of ASDH regardless of the Glasgow Coma Scale scores [2]. However, when these criteria are not met, ASDH does not require surgical treatment as it can resolve spontaneously. Conservative management is possible, if the patient shows mild neurologic deficit or brain CT does not show any mass effect [3]. However, of these cases, some of them aggravate to form ASDH in the chronic healing stage or progress to CSDH, requiring surgical intervention [4].

Until now, only a handful of studies have specifically addressed the issue of the rate of CSDH progression after conservative treatment of trauma-related ASDH. Generally, 3% to 26% of patients with ASDH, who were managed conservatively, developed chronic SDHs requiring evacuation [5,6].

CSDH can evolve from acute SDH or subdural effusion. However, only a few reports document the occurrence of chronic SDH in patients with acute SDH. Sub acute and chronic subdural haematomas are a common problem in the elderly population. Its pathogenesis has been discussed in the literature for decades. The issues remaining to be solved with regard to CSDH include the initiating events (the bleeding into the subdural space and the formation of the outer and inner membranes), its development (increase and liquefaction of hematoma), the optimal treatments, and the natural history of the disease.

In the present series, in 90% of the patients, the SDH resolved after subdural tapping in the subacute stage when new symptoms developed; however, if they were not treated at the time, the transformation into chronic SDH could ensue.

Radiologically 75% of the patients had mixed density in the initial CT scans this was, therefore, found to be a significant factor for development
of subacute or chronic SDH. Mixed hematoma density could also be due to cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) mixed with the hematoma. In this situation, the arachnoid membrane is frequently torn, which causes a mixture of CSF and blood to accumulate in the subdural space. Head CT scans usually reveal an expansive lesion with a low density area in the hematoma. This CSF mixture may exhibit rapid resolution within 24 hours due to dilution of the hematoma by CSF. On the other hand, steady CSF leakage from the subarachnoid space can cause worsening due to CSF accumulation during the subacute phase [8,9].

Seong Son, M.D., et al., postulated in their article in 2013 two possible mechanisms for subacute worsening 1) delayed resolution of hematoma in conditions such as acute-on-chronic SDH or thick ASDH, 2) subacute CSF accumulation in the subdural space in conditions such as CSF mixed ASDH or brain atrophy [7].

Alcoholism and anticoagulants are major risk factors in developing symptomatic sub acute SDH and the most common symptom is a progressive severe headache. Mortality is 10% in this series, with mortality being more associated with prior anticoagulant intake.

The factors for spontaneous resolution include no anticoagulant medication, homogeneous density, mild midline shift, thin hematoma, fewer CT slices containing hematoma, and the absence of brain atrophy [7].

**Limitations**

This study has several limitations that warrant consideration. Foremost, its retrospective nature is intrinsically prone to patient-selection bias. In addition, we only examined symptomatic sub acute or chronic SDH who were found to have a history of post traumatic acute thin SDH managed conservatively in the past however asymptomatic and spontaneously resolved patients were not

---

**Flow chart 1**: clinical factors that contribute to formation of a chronic subdural hematoma. CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; SDH, subdural hematoma
included in the study the hence exact incident of progression and factors favouring spontaneous resolution could not be derived. The sample size was also too small to analyse further risk factors. Finally this was a single institution study.

Future directions

We need prospective studies which include all post traumatic acute thin SDH and should also include larger number of samples to validate the risk factors. Future studies may include all radiological characters and special investigations.

Conclusion

Acute thin SDH without surgical treatment undergo liquefaction and may progressively enlarge, so that new symptoms develop in the subacute stage. Furthermore, this pathological process may contribute to the subsequent development of chronic SDH. Mean onset of symptoms is 14 days from our study. Hence follow up imaging at end of 2nd week is advisable to identify the enlarging SDH which leads to early surgical intervention and prevents irreversible brain damage. Clearly, no single parameter accurately predicts ASDH progression, but patients with risk factors should be monitored carefully for progression.
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Diffuse Axonal Injury: An institute Experience

Swarnarekha Narayanan¹, Sai Sriram S.², Balasubramanian D.³

Abstract

Introduction: Traumatic Brain Injuries are among the leading cause of mortality and morbidity world over. They are the leading cause of death among the younger age groups accounting for several indirect social and economic problems. This becomes more important in developing nations like India.

Methodology: 30 pediatric and 30 adult patients that were admitted and diagnosed to have Diffuse axonal Injury were included in our study. The duration of the study was 6 months between June and November 2018 in the Institute of Neurosurgery, Madras Medical College.

Discussion: Diffuse axonal injury is caused from widespread tearing of axons and small vessels by shearing forces and is defined as prolonged post-traumatic coma over 6 hours following injury without demonstrable mass lesion.

Results: Of the 21 patients with Grade 1 DAI, all 21 had favorable outcome as defined by GOS 4 or 5. 13 of the 20 in Grade 2 DAI had favourable outcomes while only 5 of the 19 with Grade 3 DAI had favourable outcomes.

Conclusion: In our study we were able to find out that while there was a statistically significant correlation between grade of DAI and the outcome (p=0.002), there was no statistically significant correlation between gender and the outcomes (p=0.3).

Keywords: Diffuse Axonal Injury; Traumatic Brain Injury; Mortality; Pediatrics.

Introduction

Traumatic Brain Injuries are among the leading cause of mortality and morbidity world over. They are the leading cause of death among the younger age groups accounting for several indirect social and economic problems. This becomes more important in developing nations like India. As per report by the ministry of road transport, Government of India (2007) 1.4 lakhs road accident happened in 2007 with 40,612 people killed and 1.5 lakhs people injured [1,2]. Hence, India is leading the world in fatalities due to road accidents. With the increasing use of MRI, Diffuse Axonal Injury is reported far more frequently. While recovery from DAI is dependent on the grade of the Injury, in our study we attempt to establish a correlation between age and outcomes.

TBI in children often occur in the same manner as in adults but differ in both pathophysiology and management. The differences are attributable to age-related structural change, mechanism of injuries based on physical ability of the child, and...
the difficulty in neurological evaluation of pediatric populations [3]. Several small case series of DAI in children, using differing imaging methods, found varying outcomes and were unable to correlate injury with prognosis [4,5,6,7]. Advances in diagnostic imaging have improved the quality of care by assisting healthcare providers to evaluate and diagnose children with TBI.

Materials and Methods

Thirty (30) pediatric and 30 adult patients that were admitted and diagnosed to have Diffuse axonal Injury were included in our study. The duration of the study was 6 months between June and November 2018 in the Institute of Neurosurgery, Madras Medical College. Patients were assessed based on their admitting GCS, associated injuries, duration of coma and these were correlated with outcome at the time of discharge as defined by Glasgow Outcome Score as favourable (GOS-4 and 5) or unfavourable (GOS 1,2 and 3). Patients with hypotension and significant injury to other systems which required intervention were excluded from the study. DAI was defined based on the description by Gennarelli et al. An MRI finding of scattered small hemorrhagic lesions on hemispheric white matter was classified as grade I, a finding of additional focal lesions on the corpus callosum was classified as grade II, and a finding of additional focal lesions on the brain stem was classified as grade III. The correlation between the MRI grade and the mean time interval to recovery of consciousness was evaluated by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Statistical significance was defined as \( p < 0.05 \).

Results

Of the 60 patients in the study, 43 were men and 17 were women.

Among the pediatric patients, 12 had Grade 1 DAI, 11 had Grade 2 DAI and the remaining 7 had Grade 3 DAI. Among the adults, 9 patients had Grade 1 DAI, 9 more had Grade 2 DAI and 12 had Grade 3 DAI.

The gender distribution among the pediatric patients was 8 boys and 4 girls had Grade 1 DAI, 7 boys and 4 girls had Grade 2 DAI while 4 boys and 3 girls had DAI of Grade 3. Among the adults, 8 men and a woman had Grade 1 DAI. Grade 2 DAI was noted in 7 men and 2 women while 10 men and 2 women had grade 3 DAI.

Of the 21 patients with Grade 1 DAI, all 21 had favorable outcome as defined by GOS 4 or 5. 13 of the 20 in Grade 2 DAI had favourable outcomes while only 5 of the 19 with Grade 3 DAI had favourable outcomes. There was a statistically significant correlation with \( p \) value of 0.002.

23 of the 30 pediatric patients had a favourable outcome. It included all 12 who had Grade 1 DAI, 8 of the 11 with Grade 2 DAI and 3 of the 7 with Grade 3 DAI.

Among the adults, 16 of the 30 had favorable outcomes. 9 belonged to Grade 1 DAI, 5 had Grade 2 DAI while only 2 of the 12 with Grade 3 DAI had favourable outcomes.

There was a statistically significant correlation between age and outcome with a \( p \) value of 0.03.

Discussion

Diffuse axonal injury is caused from widespread tearing of axons and small vessels by shearing forces and is defined as prolonged post-traumatic coma over 6 hours following injury without demonstrable mass lesion [8,9,10]. “Diffuse degeneration of the cerebral white matter” was first defined by Strich [11]. The time course of the pathological changes was established by Adams et al. [12]. Studies have suggested that children with deeper lesions are more likely to have poor outcomes, the so-called Ommaya-Gennarelli hypothesis [13,14,15].

The Ommaya-Gennarelli depth of lesion model was used to create the Adams classification for animal studies. Mild (grade 1) DAI includes microscopic changes in subcortical white matter, corpus callosum, brainstem, and cerebellum. Moderate (grade 2) DAI is defined by grossly evident focal lesions isolated to the corpus callosum. Severe DAI (grade 3) includes additional focal lesions in the dorsolateral rostral brainstem [16]. Although useful for animal studies, it is uncertain how well this classification translates to the clinical setting. In one study, patients with DAI lesions limited to subcortical white matter had better outcomes than patients with injury to the corpus callosum or brainstem [17]. Other investigators found a high incidence of brainstem hemorrhage in patients with more severe TBI and DAI.

There are unique biomechanical properties for pediatric brain injury due to a combination of higher plasticity and deformity, whereby external forces are absorbed in a different way compared to adults. The infant skull is less rigid, and open
Sutures function as joints, allowing for a small degree of movement in response to a mechanical stress. The cerebral white matter contains little myelin, and its distribution is very different in newborns compared with that in adults. The neonatal brain is watery, while the myelinated brain has a much higher density due to the progressing myelination and progressively lowering of the water content. Temporal differences between myelination of various brain areas are pronounced during progressing development. Myelination follows programmed patterns with a caudo-cranial and posterior-anterior predominance. The degree of myelination results in different absorption of traumatic forces, with increased susceptibility to TBI in the unmyelinated regions [19].

Diffuse axonal injury can be diagnosed using clinical signs (level of consciousness and neurological deficits) and radiological findings. Zimmerman reported the first study of radiological diagnosis of diffuse axonal injury that includes small hemorrhagic lesions on the corpus callosum, upper brain stem, corticomedullary junction, parasagittal area, and basal ganglia. Brain computed tomographic (CT) findings lack accuracy in the prediction of a patient’s outcome and do not correspond well to the patient’s GCS score or neurological state [20].

Conclusion

In our study we were able to find out that while there was a statistically significant correlation between grade of DAI and the outcome (p=0.002), there was no statistically significant correlation between gender and the outcomes (p=0.3). However, we were able to establish a statistically significant correlation between age of the patient and the outcome (p=0.03). A greater percentage of pediatric patients had a better outcome compared to their adult counterparts. These findings of our study are in line with several studies that have concluded that pediatric populations tend to show comparatively better recovery than the adult populace.

Given the increasing incidence of DAI and the increasing number of pediatric patients being affected, further studies are needed to better prognosticate this condition which may have long lasting impact on the growing child and the plastic brain and ipso facto, be a significant factor in the development of the child and by extension, the community.
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Clinical Profile of Unknown Patients with Head Injuries in a Tertiary Care Centre in India: Clinical Profile and Outcome

Swarnarekha Narayanan¹, Krishna Narayanan M.D.², Balasubramanian D.³

Abstract

Background: Unknown patients represent a particularly vulnerable group. They are usually neglected individuals, with improper access to proper nutrition, sanitation and health care. They often harbour numerous comorbidities that are undiagnosed and untreated at the time of presentation and the management of such patients is particularly difficult.

Objective: To analyze the clinical profile and outcome of patients admitted as 'Unknown' with Head injuries.

Setting: Institute of neurosurgery, Madras Medical College.

Subjects: Patient data was obtained by retrospectively from hospital records, records were perused from January 2014 to January 2019. Data was collected regarding patients admitted as 'Unknown' with Head Injuries.

Results: A total of 110 patients were enrolled into the study. 28 patients were found to have severe head injuries, 60 patients were found to have moderate injuries and 22 patients were found to have mild head injuries. 14 patients succumbed, 5 patients were successfully rehabilitated with their families and are on long term follow up, 61 patients were discharged to rehabilitation homes and lost to follow up. 30 patients left the hospital of their own volition.

Conclusion: Unknown patients are an often marginalised, under represented and vulnerable subset of the Head Injury population. By describing the magnitude of the problem and the peculiar difficulties seen in treating these patients, we hope, to bring these individuals to the foreground and restate that they require a particularly empathetic approach.

Keywords: Unknown; Traumatic brain injury; Outcome.

Introduction

Head injuries represent a devastating, preventable disease entity that has shown a consistent and considerable rise in Incidence over the years, especially in developing countries where the rate of growth in consumer wealth and number of vehicles has far out stripped the infrastructural investment in safety. While the exact Incidence in India is unknown, most studies in India rely on extrapolation of data available from developed countries [1]. The obvious limitation of this being the higher population density in India and the difference in local legislation. What is known is that the incidence of Head injuries is on the rise.

Unknown patients represent a particularly vulnerable group. They are usually neglected individuals, with improper access to proper nutrition, sanitation and health care. They often harbour numerous comorbidities that are undiagnosed and untreated at the time of presentation and the management of such patients...
is particularly difficult. Studies on the outcome of head injuries in such patients are sparse [2]. Further information on such patients would better help the physician to treat such patients and furthermore guide legislation and policy changes to ensure that such patients do not ‘fall off the grid’.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted at the Institute of neurosurgery, Madras Medical College after obtaining clearance from the institutional ethics committee.

Patient data was obtained retrospectively from hospital records, records were perused from January 2014 to January 2019.

The Aim was to analyze the clinical profile and outcome of patients admitted as ‘Unknown’ with Head injuries.

Participants and procedure

Upon retrospective analysis, a total of 110 patients were identified. Records of these patients was perused and data was analysed based on patient demographics, Injury characteristics and ultimate outcome.

Patients who survived were further classified based on their mode of rehabilitation i.e. patients that were successfully identified and reconnected with their support network (family or otherwise), patients discharged to Rehabilitation centers/ temporary homes and patients who left of their own free will against medical advice. The data of their outcome was further studied against available literature to ascertain if there was a significant difference in outcome after further stratifying patients based on the severity of their head injury.

Inclusion criteria

Patients with mild, moderate or severe head injuries with or without other injuries with no obvious identification at the time of admission and 24 hours post admission after an initial exhaustive search for next of kin.

Exclusion criteria

Patients who were identified and were reunited with their families within 24 hours of Injury and patients who were declared dead on arrival or died prior to resuscitation and evaluation for injuries.

Statistical Analysis

Apart from descriptive statistics, all other statistical analyses were carried out using the IBM SPSS software for Windows.

Results

A total of 110 patients were enrolled into the study, with the vast majority of patients being male (100 of 110/ 90.9%). This is as most traumatic Injuries in India affect men and that most patients with no next of kin or ‘Unknown’ patients are male.

The youngest patient in the study was 14 years old (approximated using limb x-rays) and the oldest patient was approximated to be around 80 years of age. The age wise distribution of patients is tabulated in Table 1.

Head injuries were classified and quantified as mild moderate and severe using the following criteria (based on the Glasgow Coma Scale/ GCS): severe (GCS<8), moderate (GCS 9–13), and minor (GCS 14-15) [3]. Using this classification, 28 patients were found to have severe head injuries, 60 patients were found to have moderate injuries and 22 patients were found to have mild head injuries. This is tabulated in Table 2.

Upon admission and treatment, 14 patients succumbed, of which 13 patients had a severe head injury at the time of admission and 1 patient had a moderate head injury but later succumbed to an underlying undiagnosed cardiomyopathy, 26 hours after admission. Of the remaining patients, 5 patients were successfully rehabilitated with their families and are on long term follow up, 61 patients were discharged to rehabilitation homes and lost to follow up. 30 patients (20 with mild head injuries and 10 with moderate) left the hospital of their own volition, against medical advice and were also lost to follow up, Table 3.

<p>| Table 1: Age wise distribution of ‘Unknown patients’ with Head Injuries |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Distribution (in years)</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-80</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 80</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
had no psychomotor symptomatology prior to the inciting trauma [5]. Moreover resuscitation of these patients are also a challenge as their underlying cardio pulmonary status is unknown leading to an approximation of medication and underlying metabolic derangements are only diagnosed after a few hours, when investigations are available. This was exemplified by the loss of one patient in this study with a moderate head injury with an unreported/undiagnosed cardiomyopathy who went into cardiac failure following the initial resuscitation and subsequently succumbed.

This study revealed that a disproportionately large portion of the ‘Unknown’ patients were male. The reason behind this is largely unknown as no available indices for such patients exist in India and therefore it cannot be ascertained if this represents a sampling bias or is reflective of the state of events. However it was noted that most of the female patients were above the age of 60 (8 of 10 / 80%). Additionally, of the patients who were successfully reunited with their families (5 of 110), all were less than 30 years of age. It was found that the older the patient, the more difficult it was to find their place of origin and surviving family members (if any).

The pattern of injuries were consistent with high velocity impact trauma [6] and the common mode of presentation was secondary to a road traffic accident, wherein the patient was almost always the pedestrian, this likely was due to encroachment of these individuals onto roads, inebriation of the driver, inebriation of the patient or both. The mortality indices were also consistent with available literature [7], the difference being a statistically non significant decrease in patients dying of severe head injuries (global average 46 % vs 45%) [8], the possible reasons include an longer than average ICU stay, a longer than average in patient course and the fact that the primary care giver was usually a trained para medical professional.

Of the patients admitted and successfully treated, only 5 patients were successfully reunited with their family. The large majority of patients either left the hospital of their own accord or were discharged to long term care facilities. The troubling aspect of this was that these patients were subsequently lost to follow up, indicating that while they were successfully treated for their injuries, their long term rehabilitation was most likely incomplete.

Head injuries in these patients further compound the problem, as at the time of presentation, most of these patients are obtunded and any history of comorbid illnesses (if known) cannot be elicited and the baseline cognitive performance is unknown. This potentially leads to a dilemma and delay in treatment as the rapid neurologic exam performed in the emergency department is primarily based on the implication that the patient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Tabulation of patients vs presenting GCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presenting GCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS &lt; 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS 9-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS 14-15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Patient Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identified, discharged to family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged to Rehabilitation home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left against medical advice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4: Characteristics of sustained Head Injuries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of Injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidural Hematoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subdural Hematoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contusion / Intracerebral Hematoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calvarial Fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumocephalus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumoventricle or Intraventricular Hematoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse Axonal Injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed Lesions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The characteristics of the head injuries of the patients are tabulated in Table 4.

Discussion

The category of patients listed as ‘Unknown’ represent an uniquely vulnerable subset of patients. These patients pose numerous challenges both in terms of their treatment parameters as well as to the treating institute. The problem is further confounded by the relative paucity of reliable data, owing to the inconsistent terminology used in literature. The burden of care for these patients rests exclusively on the treating physician and the supporting hospital. As these patients invariably are treated in government run institutions they tend to stress hospital resources in a background of already limited supplies [4].

Head injuries in these patients further compound the problem, as at the time of presentation, most of these patients are obtunded and any history of comorbid illnesses (if known) cannot be elicited and the baseline cognitive performance is unknown. This potentially leads to a dilemma and delay in treatment as the rapid neurologic exam performed in the emergency department is primarily based on the implication that the patient
patient to society is hampered by the additional disabilities sustained during the trauma.

The only large scale study conducted in India to date [2] cites the logistical and medical issues that are highlighted in this paper, in comparison we found a larger subset of patients that remained unidentified. The possible reasons include, the larger intake of this hospital, the larger treating radius and referral radius as well as the general growth of the Indian population since the publication of the afore mentioned article.

The paucity of data on these patients, further indicates the marginalisation of such individuals. While most hospitals do receive such patients, they are often ill equipped to deal with a problem of such a magnitude. This study emphasises the fact that a systemic redressal of the problem should be sought for at every level. Rather than considering such individuals as patients alone, the hospital should be considered as the point of first contact, wherein rehabilitation and repatriation into society can begin as the patient is being treated.

Moreover, prompt identification of such patients would be beneficial to both the treating physician and the patient, this again requires an uniform medical record system and an identification system. however the sheer magnitude of such an endeavour in a country as populous as India is probably arduous, both in terms of data acquisition and in a medicolegal stand point, especially at a time where data breaches and concerns over privacy are the norm.

Conclusion

Unknown patients are an often marginalised, under represented and vulnerable subset of the Head Injury population. By describing the magnitude of the problem and the peculiar difficulties seen in treating these patients, we hope, to bring these individuals to the foreground and restate that they require a particularly empathetic approach.
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Case Series on Vascular Malformations Presenting as Seizures
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Abstract

Intracranial vascular malformations, that includes arteriovenous malformations and cavernous malformations, are the most common cause of intracerebral hemorrhage in young adults. Seizures related to cavernous malformations seems to be attributable to surrounding hemosiderin deposition through leaky endothelial junctions. The estimated risk of occurrence of seizures in patients with vascular malformations is 1.5% per person-year of observation. Lesionectomy (excision of the vascular malformation) versus adjacent epileptogenic corticectomy studies have found lesionectomy enough to relieve the patient of the medically refractory epilepsy with class 1 Engels scale [4] outcome and is safer in eloquent areas of brain [3]. We present three interesting cases of vascular malformations with rare presentations and their surgical management. Vascular malformations presenting as refractory seizures is not a rare entity. Lesionectomy should be the management of choice in these patients in accessible lesions as it can lead to good outcome in terms of seizure outcome in these patients.

Keywords: Seizures; Arteriovenous malformations; lesionectomy.

Introduction

Seizures related to cavernous malformations seems to be attributable to surrounding hemosiderin deposition through leaky endothelial junctions. The initial manifestations of intracranial vascular malformations depend on the underlying subtype. Seizures are the most common initial symptom of cavernous malformations, occurring as the initial feature in 34 to 70% of affected patients [2]. The estimated risk of occurrence of seizures in patients with vascular malformations is 1.5% per person-year of observation. Lesionectomy (excision of the vascular malformation) vs adjacent epileptogenic corticectomy studies have found lesionectomy enough to relieve the patient of the medically refractory epilepsy with class 1 Engels scale [4] outcome and is safer in eloquent areas of brain [3]. We present three interesting cases of vascular malformations with rare presentations and their surgical management.

How to cite this article:

Case Series

Case 1
A 30 year old female, presented with headache and formed visual hallucinations on and off seeing coloured butterflies in both eyes for 4 years. Psychiatric consulted for the same and was started on antipsychotics (SSRIs) for the same. Fields showed Right homonymous hemianopia by confrontation. Imaging showed Spetzler-Martin GRADE 3 arteriovenous malformation (Spetzler-Ponce class B) in left occipital cortex with feeders from Left posterior cerebral artery and Left middle cerebral artery and major draining vein into superior sagittal sinus with Type 4 intranidal aneurysm (Fig. 1(a)) (Fig. 1(b)). Left occipital craniotomy and total excision of arteriovenous malformation was done (Fig. 1(c)). Patient improved in her symptoms, discharged on 10th postoperative day. On 3rd month follow-up- free of the occipital lobe seizures (Engels outcome scale-Class 1), field deficits-improved.

Case 2
A 15 year old male patient presented with headache for eight months, intracranial pressure type with seizures of GTCS semiology. Records of treatment showed posterior third ventricular space occupying lesion with obstructive hydrocephalus and right VP shunt done and Right Parietal craniotomy and Transcortical approach was done without success. Patient continued to have episodes of seizures of same semiology. Imaging showed a pineal region Cavernoma (Fig. 2(a) and 2(b)).

Right parietal craniotomy upto midline was done with posterior interhemispheric approach (Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 3(b)) posterior to splenium of corpus callosum (retrocallosal) to reach the cavernoma which was microsurgically dissected and total excision done. Post operatively-boy was relieved of seizures (Engels class 1) and discharged on 15th postoperative day.

Case 3
A 25 year old male patient, known case of seizure disorder for 10 years with partial seizures involving left upper limb presented with another episode-same semiology but with secondary generalisation 2 weeks back with MRI brain done, showing a Right Temporal Pial Arteriovenous fistula and was referred to our institute. Patient had bilateral proptosis and optic disc showed no papilloedema. On CT angiogram and DSA, patient had a right Temporal Pial Arteriovenous fistula with feeders from Right Middle cerebral artery and draining into the inferior anastomotic vein of Labbe and further into the Right Tranverse-Sigmoid sinus junction. DSA also showed bilateral indirect Carotico-cavernous fistula fed by the Middle Meningel arteries (Figs. 4.(a)(b)(c)).

Fig. 1: Case Report 1 (a) T2 MRI showing arteriovenous malformation in left occipital lobe
Pterional flap with Right Frontotemporal Craniotomy and Sylvian dissection (Fig. 5(a)) with clipping of two main feeders from superior and inferior divisions of middle cerebral artery and cauterisation of other smaller feeders done. On clipping the second major feeder, Arteriovenous fistula varix shrunk in size and decreased in pulsation. It was followed leading to the major draining vein (vein of Labbe) and clipped (silver clips) close to sac and sac excised (Fig. 5(b)). Post operative CECT Brain showed total occlusion of Arteriovenous fistula (Fig. 5(c)).

Patient improved post operatively with CT Angiogram showing total occlusion of Fistula.
Fig. 2: Case Report 2: (a) T1 MRI showing Pineal Cavernoma.

Fig. 2(b): SWI showing characteristic - Blooming

Fig. 3: Case Report 2 (a). Posterior interhemispheric retrocalossal approach.
Fig. 3(b): Resected Cavernoma specimen.

Fig. 4: Case Report 3: (a). CT showing the Right Temporal hyperdense arteriovenous fistula varix

Fig. 4(b): CT Angiogram showing Pial Arteriovenous fistula with Right middle cerebral artery feeders and drainage into vein of Labbe.
Fig. 4(c): T2 MRI showing the Pial Arteriovenous fistula.

Fig. 5: Case report 3: (a) Intra operative picture showing Pial Arteriovenous fistula with sylvian dissection and clipping of feeders

Fig. 5(b): Intraoperative picture showing showing the varix dissected upto venous end.
Fig. 5(c): Post operative CT showing total occlusion of Pial Arteriovenous fistula.

Fig. 6(a): Morphology of Pineal region lesion favourable to Retrocallosal approach (diagrammatic).

Fig. 6(b): MRI of Patient 2 with Pineal Cavernoma
No increase in size noted in the bilateral indirect Caroticocavernous fistulas. Patient was discharged on 12th postoperative day. On 3rd month follow up, patient was relieved of seizures (Engels class 1). He is now on regular follow-up.

Discussion

All three patients in this case series had varied presentations in respect to the site of lesion, seizure semiology and pathology of the vascular malformations. All three were treated with surgical excision of the lesion and had excellent recovery in terms of Epilepsy outcome (Table 1).

Usually patients with occipital lobe lesions will only have unformed hallucinations in the form of coloured blobs and flashes [15]. But in cases when Peristriate and Parastriate cortices are involved patient may present with formed hallucinations [15] as in our case where patient had hallucinatory episodes of seeing butterflies.

Primary generalized seizure (GTCS) is more common in pineal region tumours [9]. Probably due to mass effect on the surrounding veins that affects normal perfusion, compressive effect on the quadrigeminal plate and the aqueduct of the midbrain, hemosiderin deposits or secretion disturbances of anticonvulsive agent melatonin can be involved in the pathogenesis of seizures. In our patient 2 also, presentation was that of GTCS semiology. Pineal cavernoma was morphologically favourable for a Retrocallosal Posterior interhemispheric approach (Figs. 6(a)(b)) and the patient being young, Callosal and Forniceal damage and deficits can be prevented by approaching posterior to splenium and not splitting it as in case of a standard Posterior interhemispheric approach and so is preferred in this patient.

Pial arteriovenous fistula (AVF) is an extremely rare intracranial vascular lesion, consistent of one or more arterial supply in direct connection to the venous drainage without intervening nidus, the key feature of arteriovenous malformation (AVM). From 1977 to 2009, only 112 cases have been reported in 43 reports that too mostly in pediatric age group [5,12]. It is believed to be a result of abnormal embryological (missed-step) development of cerebrovasculature [5,6]. Younger age (<15 years old) are more likely to have varix in angiographic study [5]. The absence of varix do have significant correlation with haemorrhage. Younger patients are more likely to have symptom related to shunting effect; however, haemorrhage is the major presentation in older patients. In our patient, presentation was with refractory seizures though the patient was a young adult [5].

Syndromic association- Rendu Osler Weber syndrome, Heriditary Hemorrhagic Telengiectasia types 1 to 4. But no positive family history or other manifestations in our patient.

In our patient, bilateral cavernous sinus dural arteriovenous fistulas were present but were asymptomatic. So, only the pial arteriovenous fistula was treated and patient is on followup for the cavernous sinus dural arteriovenous fistulas.

There are case reports of Pial arteriovenous fistula, Pial arteriovenous malformation and dural arteriovenous fistulas presenting with bilateral proptosis due to stenosis or thrombosis of bilateral transverse sinus and venous reflux with dilatation of superior ophthalmic veins causing the proptosis [12,13]. But in our case both transverse sinuses were patent on DSA and the CSDAVFs are primary, between MMAs and draining into Cavernous sinus. To our knowledge, this is the first case being reported in the literature with Pial AVF with bilateral true indirect CCFs in the same patient that too an adult.

No standard guidelines as most experiences come from case reports and case series. Hoh et al. [15] have demonstrated a successful treatment by simply disconnecting the shunt using either surgical or endovascular technique. Obliteration rate of 86.5% for endovascular treatment and 96.8% for surgical management has been recorded in literature [15].

Unfavorable angiographic features include drainage of the normal vein into the venous varix, multiple enpassage feeders draining into the fistula and normal cortical vessels arising close to the fistula [12]. These features do not necessarily mean a contraindication for embolization. They necessitate

---

**Table 1:** Shows region involved, semiology of seizures and pathology of lesion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region involved</th>
<th>Seizure Semiology</th>
<th>Pathology of lesion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1</td>
<td>Left Occipital</td>
<td>Occipital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>Pineal</td>
<td>GTCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 3</td>
<td>Right Temporal</td>
<td>Partial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Modification of the technique to embolize the fistulous location precisely and if necessary to consider surgical disconnection. Surgical treatment could be difficult when the lesion is deep seated, in critical areas, obscured by large drainage vein, or small arteriovenous fistula.

Lesion being surgically accessible, varix being large and the indication being refractory epilepsy, we chose surgical excision over Endovascular management in this patient.

Conclusion

Vascular malformations presenting as refractory seizures is not a rare entity. Lesionectomy should be the management of choice in these patients in accessible lesions as it can lead to good outcome in terms of seizure outcome in these patients.
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